UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,068	08/30/2006	Gunter Fuhr	P-9039-US	5979
	7590 11/18/200 dek Latzer, LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 Broadway 12th Floor New York, NY 10036			KIM, TAEYOON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/591,068	FUHR, GUNTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TAEYOON KIM	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ılv 2009				
	action is non-final.				
/_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
discour in assertations with the practice and of E	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 52-102 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52-96 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 97-102 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Uniterview Summary (PTO-413)					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and response filed on 7/9/2009 has been received and entered into the case.

Claims 1-51 are canceled, claims 52-96 have been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter, and claims 97-102 have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

Specification

The amended abstract has been received and accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The claim rejection under 35 U.S.C.§112 has been withdrawn due to the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The claim rejection under 35 U.S.C.§102 has been withdrawn due to the amendment.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 97-102 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-New Rejection

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 97-102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Art Unit: 1651

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

The newly introduced limitation drawn to the lateral dimension of the solid base surface being within the range from 10 µm to 1000 µm does not have a proper support from the specification. The specification discloses that the lateral dimensions of the surface are typically selected within the range from 10 µm to 1 cm, in particular from 10 µm to 5000 µm (p.11, lines 2-4). While the new limitation falls within the range, the scope of the range is certainly changed from the broader disclosure to the narrower one.

In amended cases, subject matter not disclosed in the original application is sometimes added and a claim directed thereto. Such a claim is rejected on the ground that it recites elements without support in the original disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, *Waldemar Link*, *GmbH & Co. v. Osteonics Corp.* 32 F.3d 556, 559, 31 USPQ2d 1855, 1857 (Fed. Cir. 1994); *In re Rasmussen*, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981). See MPEP § 2163.06 - § 2163.07(b) for a discussion of the relationship of new matter to 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. New matter includes not only the addition of wholly unsupported subject matter, but may also include adding specific percentages or compounds after a broader original disclosure, or even the omission of a step from a method. See MPEP § 608.04 to § 608.04(c). See *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976) and MPEP § 2163.05 for guidance in determining whether the addition of specific percentages or compounds after a broader original disclosure constitutes new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,068 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102-New Rejection

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 97-102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pelrine et al. (US 2002/0106314).

Pelrine et al. teach a method of manipulating biological cells bound onto magnetic particles (cell carrier) (par. 26; Fig. 2), which is able to levitate on the diamagnetic surface (solid base surface) (par. 124).

Pelrine et al. also teach the surface of the magnetic particle having a maximum dimension ranging from about 1 μ m to about 2 mm (2000 μ m), about 50 to about 500 μ m or about 25 to about 250 μ m (par. 124), and thus meet the limitation of the claimed range.

With regard to the limitations of claim 98, 100 and 101 drawn to the cells being positioned next to each other, and interacting/contacting each other or cytological imprinting of cells on neighboring cell carriers, these limitations merely state the result of the limitations in the claim and/or the intrinsic property of the cells on the cell carriers, and therefore, adds nothing to the patentability or substance of the claim. Therefore, this phrase does not limit the claim. See *Texas Instruments Inc. v. International Trade Commission*, 26 USPQ2d 1010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *Griffin v. Bertina*, 62 USPQ2d 1431 (Fed. Cir. 2002); *Amazon.com Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com Inc.*, 57 USPQ2d 1747 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

Since the method of Pelrine et al. can provide the same results of contacting or interacting

Art Unit: 1651

between cells bound to the magnetic particles, it is considered that the method of Pelrine et al. is capable of carry out the same intended results. Furthermore, the limitation of cytological imprinting of cells is solely dependent on the property of cells used in the method rather than the limitation is carried out by the method steps of the current invention. Thus, it is considered intended results/outcome produced by the method being used in an application wherein cells being activated by contacting other cells or cell components.

With regard to the limitation of claim 102 drawn to the magnetic force acting on the cells and/or the cell carrier, the method of Pelrine et al. inherently carries out this limitation, and thus, the method of Pelrine et al. anticipates the claimed limitation.

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TAEYOON KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-9041.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm ET (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Taeyoon Kim/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651